



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,853	10/01/1999	JERRY ALTEN	UV-137-CONT.	7565
7590	05/31/2006		EXAMINER	SHANG, ANNAN Q
Joseph M Giuliano Fish & Neave IP Group Roper & Gray LLP 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/410,853	ALTEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Annan Q. Shang	2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,13-15,17-20,26-28,30-33,39-45 and 47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,13-15,17-20,26-28,30-33,39-45 and 47 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/24/06.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-7, 13-15, 17-20, 26-28, 30-33, 39-45 and 47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to claims 1, 4-5, 13-14, 17-18, 2, 26-27, 30-31, 39-40, 42-43 and 46-47, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Young et al (4,706,121)** in view of **Richards et al (5,179,654)**, and claims 2, 6-7, 15, 19-20, 28, 32-33, 41 and 44-45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Young** in view of **Richards**, and further in view of **Palmer et al (6,320,588)**, applicant amends claims to "track(s) a current operating mode of the electronic program guide..." and argues that the prior arts of records do not track the current operating mode of the electronic program guide.

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes applicant's argument, however the amended claims do not overcome the prior arts of records. Young teaches receiving a user input, via Remote Receiver (RR) 118 or 190 receives via Remote Control Transmitters (RC) 116 or 118, where a user selects a key PG 224 "help information key" on RC 116 or 118 to receive help information for the television program guide 'EPG' or television Menu (col. 9, line 54 and col. 12, lines 30-44) that explains to the user how the electronic television program guide operates, displaying the help information at the bottom of the screen of Television Receiver (TV) 126 or 200. Young fails to explicitly teach tracking a current operating mode of the electronic program guide

and providing help information based on the current operating mode, however this deficiency in Young is disclosed in Richard's reference, which teaches a menu system which provides help information, that appear through pop-up windows, at various operating mode of the menu, where a user navigates through menus and Microprocessor 10, tracks each menu and also items within each menu to provide different levels of help information based on the mode of operation of a menu (figs. 1-4, col.5, lines 8-25, line 46-col.6, line 9, col.7, lines 7-47 and line 47-col.8, line 1+). Hence, applicant's amended claims do not overcome the prior arts of records, as discussed in the office action below. The amendment to all the independent claims necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection discussed below. This office action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5, 13, 14, 17, 18, 26, 27, 30, 31, 39, 40, 42, 43, 46 and 47, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Young et al (4,706,121)** in view of **Richards et al (5,179,654)**.

As to claim 1, note the **Young** reference figures 1-3, discloses a TV schedule or EPG system and process which allows a user selection of broadcast programs from schedule information and further discloses a method for providing help information that

explains to a user of an electronic television program guide how the electronic television program guide operates, the method comprises the following:

the claimed "receiving a user input and providing help information that explains to the user how the electronic television program guide operates..." is met by Remote Receiver (RR) 118 or 190 (figs. 3-5, col. 7, lines 33-57 and col. 9, line 48-col. 10, line 10), note that RR 118 or 190 receives via Remote Control Transmitters (RC) 116 or 118, user inputs where if the user selects key PG 224 "help information key" on RC 116 or 118, help information (col. 9, line 54 and col. 12, lines 30-44) that explains to the user how the electronic television program guide (EPG) operates is displayed at the bottom of the screen of Television Receiver (TV) 126 or 200.

Young fails to explicitly teach tracking a current operating mode of the electronic program guide and providing help information based on the current operating mode.

However, **Richards** teaches a menu system which provides help information, that appear through pop-up windows, at various operating mode of the menu (figs. 1-4, col.5, lines 8-25, line 46-col.6, line 9, col.7, lines 7-47 and line 47-col.8, line 1+), note that the user navigates through menus and Microprocessor 10, tracks each menu and also items within each menu to provide different levels of help information based on the mode of operation of a menu.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Richards into the system of Young to concurrently display help text on each selected tile, item or grid of the EPG data, and furthermore enable interaction with each selected tiles or grid of the EPG data for

additional information, help or instructions relating to the selected tile or grid of the EPG data.

As to claim 4, Young further discloses where RC 116 or 118 generates the user input in response to the user depressing PG 224 "help information key" on RC 116 or 118 (col. 9, line 54 and col. 12, lines 30-44), to displayed help information at the bottom of the screen of TV 126 or 200.

As to claim 5, Young further discloses displaying a text message (col. 12, lines 30-58), which explains to the user how a portion of the EPG operates.

As to claim 13, Young further discloses storing the help information in memory and retrieving the help information from the memory in response to receiving the user input (col. 7, lines 47-64, col. 8, lines 32-44 and col. 12, lines 64-68).

As to claim 14, note the **Young** reference figures 1-3, discloses a TV schedule system and process which allows a user selection of broadcast programs from schedule information and further discloses a method for providing help information that explains to a user of an electronic television program guide how the electronic television program guide operates, the system comprises the following:

the claimed "means for receiving a user input and means for providing help information that explains to the user how the electronic television program guide operates..." is met by Remote Receiver (RR) 118 or 190 (figs. 3-5, col. 7, lines 33-57 and col. 9, line 48-col. 10, line 10), note that RR 118 or 190 receives via Remote Control Transmitters (RC) 116 or 118, user inputs, where if the user selects key PG 224 "help information key" on RC 116 or 118, help information (col. 9, line 54 and col. 12,

lines 30-44), that explains to the user how the electronic television program guide operates is displayed at the bottom of the screen of Television Receiver (TV) 126 or 200.

Young fails to explicitly teach tracking a current operating mode of the electronic program guide and providing help information based on the current operating mode.

However, **Richards** teaches a menu system which provides help information, that appear through pop-up windows, at various operating mode of the menu (figs. 1-4, col.5, lines 8-25, line 46-col.6, line 9, col.7, lines 7-47 and line 47-col.8, line 1+), note that the user navigates through menus and Microprocessor 10, tracks each menu and also items within each menu to provide different levels of help information based on the mode of operation of a menu.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Richards into the system of Young to concurrently display help text on each selected tile, item or grid of the EPG data, and furthermore enable interaction with each selected tiles or grid of the EPG data for additional information, help or instructions relating to the selected tile or grid of the EPG data.

Claim 17, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 4.

Claim 18, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 5.

Claim 26, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 13.

As to claim 27, note the **Young** reference figures 1-3, discloses a TV schedule system and process which allows a user selection of broadcast programs from schedule

information and further discloses an electronic television program guide system that provides help information for explaining to a user of an electronic television program guide how the electronic television program guide operates, the system comprises the following:

the claimed "a video display generator," is met by Video Display Generator (VDG) 204 (col. 8, lines 48-62);

the claimed "a remote controller," is met by Remote Control Transmitters (RC) 116 or 118 (col. 7, lines 33-57 and col. 9, lines 48-52);

the claimed "a microcontroller," is met by CPU 178 (col. 8, lines 35-62); and electronic television program guide (EPG) executed by CPU 178 and programmed to receiver a user input via Remote Control Transmitters (RC) 116 or 118 and Remote Receiver (RR) 118 or 190 (figs. 3-5, col. 7, lines 33-57 and col. 9, line 48-col. 10, line 10), and provides help information at the bottom of the screen of Television Receiver (TV) 126 or 200 that explains to the user how the EPG operates to the VDG 204 in response to receiving the user input, i.e., when the user presses PG 224 "help information key" on RC 166 or 118.

Young fails to explicitly teach tracking a current operating mode of the electronic program guide and providing help information based on the current operating mode.

However, **Richards** teaches a menu system which provides help information, that appear through pop-up windows, at various operating mode of the menu (figs. 1-4, col.5, lines 8-25, line 46-col.6, line 9, col.7, lines 7-47 and line 47-col.8, line 1+), note that the user navigates through menus and Microprocessor 10, tracks each menu and

also items within each menu to provide different levels of help information based on the mode of operation of a menu.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Richards into the system of Young to concurrently display help text on each selected tile, item or grid of the EPG data, and furthermore enable interaction with each selected tiles or grid of the EPG data for additional information, help or instructions relating to the selected tile or grid of the EPG data.

Claim 30, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 4.

Claim 31, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 5.

Claim 39, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 13.

As to claim 40, note the **Young** reference figures 1-3, discloses a TV schedule system and process which allows a user selection of broadcast programs from schedule information and further discloses machine-readable media for use with an electronic television program guide, the machine-readable media comprising program logic recorded there for the following:

the claimed "receiving a user input and providing help information that explains to the user how the electronic television program guide operates..." is met by Remote Receiver (RR) 118 or 190 (figs. 3-5, col. 7, lines 33-57 and col. 9, line 48-col. 10, line 10), note that RR 118 or 190 receives via Remote Control Transmitters (RC) 116 or 118, user inputs where if the user selects key PG 224 "help information key" on RC 116 or 118, help information (col. 9, line 54 and col. 12, lines 30-44) that explains to the user

how the electronic television program guide operates is displayed at the bottom of the screen of Television Receiver (TV) 126 or 200.

Young fails to explicitly teach tracking a current operating mode of the electronic program guide and providing help information based on the current operating mode.

However, **Richards** teaches a menu system which provides help information, that appear through pop-up windows, at various operating mode of the menu (figs. 1-4, col.5, lines 8-25, line 46-col.6, line 9, col.7, lines 7-47 and line 47-col.8, line 1+), note that the user navigates through menus and Microprocessor 10, tracks each menu and also items within each menu to provide different levels of help information based on the mode of operation of a menu.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Richards into the system of Young to concurrently display help text on each selected tile, item or grid of the EPG data, and furthermore enable interaction with each selected tiles or grid of the EPG data for additional information, help or instructions relating to the selected tile or grid of the EPG data.

Claim 42, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 4.

Claim 43, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 5.

Claim 47, is met as previously discussed with respect claim 13.

4. Claims 2, 6, 7, 15, 19, 20, 28, 32, 33, 41, 44 and 45, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Young (4,706,121)** in view of **Richards et al**

(5,179,654) as applied to claims 1, 14, 27 and 40 above, and further in view of **Palmer et al (6,320,588)**.

As to claims 2, 15, 28 and 41, Young as modified by Richards displays a help menu at the bottom of the screen upon receiving a user selection, but fail to explicitly teach displaying a help icon.

However, **Palmer** teaches displaying a help icon on a menu (fig. 23 and col. 19, lines 31-39).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Palmer into the system of Young as modified by Richards to provide a help icon as a visual mnemonics on the screen for a user-friendly GUI that allows the user to control without having to remember a command or input at a remote control or keyboard.

As to claims 6, 7, 19, 20, 32, 33, 44 and 45, Young as modified by Richards fails to explicitly teach where the help information comprises displaying an instructional video or audio that explains to the user how a portion of the EPG operates.

However, Palmer further teaches a menu system, with audio/visual help instruction, which explains how a portion of the menu operates (figs. 23-25, col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 2, col. 19, lines 31-39 and col. 22, line 63-col. 23, line 1+), note that the help instruction offers the user three levels comprehensive textual, audio and visual system documentation (col. 23, lines 30-39).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Palmer into the system of Young as

modified by Richards to provide help instructional audio and/or video to enhanced the EPG data and furthermore, video instructional help to enable the hearing impaired to get help using video help instructions on a display and also audio instructional help, to enable the blind get audio help instructions.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fishel (6,678,706) discloses hypertext marker and method for dynamically displaying help information in an interactive data processing system.

Berry et al (6,061,060) disclose display system with imbedded icons in a menu bar.

Allen et al (5,940,614) disclose hypertext control method and apparatus for displaying help information in an interactive data processing system.

An et al (5,936,614) disclose user defined keyboard entry system.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)**. If you would like assistance from a **USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000**.



Annan Q. Shang



CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600